

**COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS OF MARYLAND
CORRECTION NOTICE**

June 4, 2020

**Re: Davon Muhammad v. Prince George's County Board of Education
No. 401, Sept. Term, 2019**

Reported opinion filed June 1, 2020 by Wells, J. (Kehoe, Leahy, Wells, JJ.)

On page 1, the eleventh line from the top of the page currently reads:

Mohammad rejected the check, claiming that the Board had not paid him the full

Should read:

Muhammad rejected the check, claiming that the Board had not paid him the full

On page 2, the fourth line from the top of the page currently reads:

settlement agreement reached. Additionally, although Mohammad insists otherwise, the

Should read:

settlement agreement reached. Additionally, although Muhammad insists otherwise, the

On page 4, the second line from the top of the page currently reads:

was resolved; Mohammad would drop his lawsuit and in return he was to receive the agreed

Should read:

was resolved; Muhammad would drop his lawsuit and in return he was to receive the agreed

On page 4, the ninth line from the top of the page currently reads:

(\$35,500.00), *less applicable required State and Federal tax withholding*, as a full and final

Should read:

(\$33,500.00), *less applicable required State and Federal tax withholding*, as a full and final

On page 5, the first line of the page currently reads:

withholdings. The Board declined to provide another check, insisting that Mohammad was

Should read:

withholdings. The Board declined to provide another check, insisting that Muhammad was

On page 12, the last line on the page currently reads:

therefore, reversal is required. Mohammad claims that this was error because the

Should read:

therefore, reversal is required. Muhammad claims that this was error because the

On page 13, the second line from the top of the page currently reads:

court from reviewing its contents. Mohammad is incorrect

Should read:

court from reviewing its contents. Muhammad is incorrect

On page 13, the tenth line from the bottom of the page currently reads:

withholding, as full and final settlement of all claims.” (emphasis supplied). Mohammad

Should read:

withholding, as full and final settlement of all claims.” (emphasis supplied). Muhammad

On page 17, the eighth line from the top of the page currently reads:

to review the settlement agreement, the court still could have found that Mohammad was

Should read:

to review the settlement agreement, the court still could have found that Muhammad was

A corrected opinion has been posted on the Court's webpage: www.mdcourts.gov/cosappeals.

/S/

Gregory Hilton
Clerk of the Court of Special Appeals